## Application-aware I/O Scheduling in the Parallel File System Server Side

#### Francieli Zanon Boito

#### Philippe Navaux GPPD - II - Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil

#### Yves Denneulin

INRIA – LIG – **Grenoble University**, France









#### Application-aware I/O Scheduling in the Parallel File System Server Side





- Optimizations adjust the access pattern of applications
  - Individually

3 / 65

Multiple-applications Scenarios

 Effect of interference





The Eighth Workshop of the INRIA-Illinois Joint Laboratory on Petascale Computing









- alOLi: I/O Scheduling Framework
   [Lebre et al. 2006]
  - Centralized file system

9 / 65

• LibalOLi: library to use with PFS servers





- LibalOLi + dNFSp
  - NFS-based parallel file system



• LibalOLi + dNFSp

- NFS-based parallel file system



- Idea similar to Lustre NRS
  - But more generic



#### LibalOLi + dNFSp Results



• MPI-IO Test

13/65

- Cluster Edel @ Grenoble.Grid5000
- dNFSp with 1 meta-server and 4 data-servers
- 32 clients single application





#### LibalOLi Results- Write Operations

Performance gain with LibalOLi (%)





#### LibalOLi Results – Read Operations





The Eighth Workshop of the INRIA-Illinois Joint Laboratory on Petascale Computing

#### LibalOLi Results – Read Operations

Increase in Performance with LibalOLi (%)





# Why does LibalOLi improve performance?





**2 assumptions** about performance:

1. Sequential is better than random

#### 2. Large requests are better than small ones





The Eighth Workshop of the INRIA-Illinois Joint Laboratory on Petascale Computing

2 assumptions about performance:

- 1. Sequential is better than random
  - Reordering of requests

- 2. Large requests are better than small ones
  - Aggregation of requests

21/65





#### LibalOLi - aggregations impact Execution time – Write (normalized) alOLi no alOLi







2 assumptions about performance:

- 1. Sequential is better than random
  - Reordering of requests

2. Large requests are better than small ones



25/65



- alOLi's approach for larger aggregations:
  - Wait (on specific conditions) for more contiguous requests





- Waiting conditions for larger aggregations
  - 1. Shift phenomena





The Eighth Workshop of the INRIA-Illinois Joint Laboratory on Petascale Computing

- Waiting conditions for larger aggregations
  - 1. Shift phenomena

28/65







- Waiting conditions for larger aggregations
  - 1. Shift phenomena

29/65







- Waiting conditions for larger aggregations
  - 1. Shift phenomena (Detection is not this fast)



30/65



The Eighth Workshop of the INRIA-Illinois Joint Laboratory on Petascale Computing

- Waiting conditions for larger aggregations
  - 1. Shift phenomena
  - < Largest aggregation performed</li>





- Waiting conditions for larger aggregations
  - 1. Shift phenomena

32/65

2. < Largest aggregation performed

#### (it will just wait for a little time and move on)





Average aggregation: 2.4 (write) or 2.8 (read) reqs





The Eighth Workshop of the INRIA-Illinois Joint Laboratory on Petascale Computing

#### Average aggregation: 2.4 (write) or 2.8 (read) reqs

Aggregations size (%) - performed/possible



# So how could we aggregate more?





## **Application-aware** I/O Scheduling in the Parallel File System Server Side




### Application-aware I/O Scheduling

- LibalOLi + information about the application
  - Scheduler takes better decisions
  - Better aggregations





### **Application-aware I/O Scheduling**

"Predict" the future requests



The Eighth Workshop of the INRIA-Illinois Joint Laboratory on Petascale Computing

INFORMÁTICA

#### Application-aware I/O Scheduling

• "Predict" the future requests





39/65











• "predict" = obtain from traces



Traces -> requests



Traces -> requests and time between them



-> predicted aggregations





- -> predicted aggregations
  - Benchmarked time to process a request of size N
  - Time between requests



UFRGS









• Aggregation size goes to 3.8 (write) or 4.1 (read)

- Increase of 58% (write) or 46% (read)





#### Aggregations size (%) - performed/possible







# Application-aware I/O Scheduling in the Parallel File System Server Side

## Summarizing...





#### I/O Scheduling with LibaIOLi (library for PFS)





# I/O Scheduling with LibalOLi (library for PFS)

Reordering and aggregation of requests





# I/O Scheduling with LibalOLi (library for PFS) Reordering and <u>aggregation of requests</u>

#### Most of the increase in performance





















58/65





59/65





### What's next?





• Detection of access pattern



• Use **Damaris** to obtain the information



More "aggressive" approach



• Further analysis

65/65

#### • LibalOLi with other PFS (PVFS, Lustre, ... ?)





Application-aware I/O Scheduling in the Parallel File System Server Side

#### Thank you for your attention!

Francieli Zanon Boito <u>francieli.zanon@inf.ufrgs.br</u>

GPPD - II - Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil INRIA – LIG – Grenoble University, France



## I/O Scheduling Example: alOLi [Lebre et al. 2006]

 Variation of Multilevel Feedback (MLF) algorithm





#### I/O Scheduling Example: alOLi

Requests of 32KB

offset

R4	R3	R2	R1	R0
32K	0	128K	0	0

Step 1

#### I/O Scheduling Example: aIOLi



# Sort requests by type, offset and insert in queue

R3 0	File 2





#### I/O Scheduling Example: alOLi

Quantum = 0



R0 0	R4 32K	R2 128K	File 1
Q=0	Q=0	Q=0	
R3 0			File 2
Q=0			
R1 0			File 3
Q=0			IDE

Step 1

INFORMÁTICA

UFRGS

#### I/O Scheduling Example: aIOLi

Perform aggregations



Step 1

UFRGS

#### I/O Scheduling Example: alOLi

#### Quantum is increased by a fixed value



Step 1
Select request

- offset order
- FIFO
- quantum enough









0

Execution



NFORMÁTICA

JERGS





R1 0





Step 2

INFORMÁTICA

JFRGS

R′ 0



File 1

Execution

File 2

File 3

MÁTICA



Preliminary Results – Write Operations **Performance gain with LibalOLi (%)** 77 74 68 60 60 60 55 51 46 45 27 25 Os **1**S 0s 1s Os **1**S OS **1**S -andom andomandom andom small small large large contiguous non-contiguous E INFORMÁTICA UFRGS 81/65 The Eighth Workshop of the INRIA-Illinois Joint Laboratory on Petascale Computing



Preliminary Results – Write Operations

**Performance gain with LibalOLi (%)** 





# Preliminary Results – Read Operations

Increase in Performance with LibalOLi (%)





#### Preliminary Results – Read Operations

**Increase in Performance with LibalOLi (%)** 



