8th workshop of the JLPC November 19th, 2012



I/O and in-situ visualization: recent results with the Damaris approach

Joint work involving Matthieu Dorier, Gabriel Antoniu, Dave Semeraro, Roberto Sisneros, Tom Peterka

Matthieu Dorier matthieu.dorier@irisa.fr KerData Team Inria Rennes, IRISA ENS Cachan



Outline

- **1.** From I/O to in-situ visualization
- **2.** Recall on the Damaris approach
- **3.** Past results: achieving scalable I/O
- 4. Recent work: non-impacting in-situ visualization
- **5.** Demonstration
- 6. Conclusion



From I/O to in-situ visualization When parallel file systems don't scale anymore



The traditional I/O flow: offline data analysis



- Periodic data generation from the simulation
- Storage in a parallel file system (Lustre, PVFS, GPFS,...)
- Offline data analysis (on another cluster)



Periodic synchronous snapshots lead to I/O bursts and high variability (jitter)



Input Output

The "cardiogram" of a PVFS data server during a run of the CM1 simulation

Visualizing throughput variability:

- Between cores
- Between iterations





Big Data challenge on post-petascale machines



- How to efficiently store, move data?
- How to index, process, compress these data?
- How to analyze, visualize and finally understand them?
- ... but wait... why storing anyway?



2

Recall on the Damaris approach Dedicating cores to enable scalable asynchronous I/O



The Damaris approach: dedicated I/O cores

• Next-generation supercomputers have multicore SMP nodes



Network access contention at the level of a node



The Damaris approach: dedicated I/O cores

Next-generation supercomputers have multicore SMP nodes



- Network access contention at the level of a node
- Possibility of efficient interactions through shared memory
- One core dedicated for gathering data
- This core only writes



Moving I/O to a dedicated core

Time-Partitioning





Leave a core, go faster!



Damaris at a glance



- Dedicated Adaptable Middleware for Application Resources Inline Steering
- Main idea: dedicate one or a few cores in each SMP node for data management
- Features:
 - Shared-memory-based

communications

- Plugin system (C,C++, Python)
- Connection to Vislt
- XML external description of data



Damaris: current state of the software

- Version 0.6.1 available at <u>http://damaris.gforge.inria.fr/</u>
 - Along with documentation, tutorials and examples
- Written in C++, uses
 - Boost for IPC, Xerces-C and XSD for XML parsing
- API for Fortran, C, C++
- Tested on
 - Grid'5000 (Linux Debian), Kraken (Cray XT5 NICS), JaguarPF (Cray XK6 Oak Ridge), JYC (Blue Waters testing system: Cray XE6 - NCSA), Intrepid (BlueGene/P – Argonne)

Tested with

- CM1 (climate), OLAM (climate), GTC (fusion), Nek5000 (CFD)



3

Past results: achieving scalable I/O



Running the CM1 simulation on Kraken, G5K and BluePrint with Damaris

The CM1 simulation

- Atmospheric simulation
- One of the Blue Waters target applications
- Uses HDF5 (file-per-process) and pHDF5 (for collective I/O)
- Kraken
 - Cray XT5 at NICS
 - 12 cores/node
 - 16 GB/node
 - Luster file system

- Grid 5000
 - 24 cores/node
 - 48 GB/node
 - PVFS file system



- BluePrint
 - Power5
 - 16 cores/node
 - 64 GB/node
 - GPFS file system

Innía

Results on I/O with the CM1 application Damaris achieves almost perfect scalability



 T_{base} : time of an iteration on one core w/o write T: time of an iteration + a write



More results...

Damaris: How to Efficiently Leverage Multicore Parallelism to Achieve Scalable, Jitter-free I/O

Matthieu Dorier, Gabriel Antoniu, Franck Cappello, Marc Snir, Leigh Orf Proceedings of IEEE CLUSTER 2012 (Beijing, China)

Innía

Recent work: non-impacting in-situ visualization

Getting insights from running simulations



From offline to coupled visualization





In-situ approaches

- In-situ = on the same node, collocated with the simulation
- As opposed to remote visualization
- Can be:
 - Time-partitioning
 - Space-partitioning
- Advantages:
 - Can work from in-memory data without data movement
 - Can leverage GPUs when the simulation does not use them
- Drawbacks:
 - Code instrumentation
 - Impact on performance





Usability

Low impact on simulation code

Adaptability (to different simulations and visualization scenarios)

Performance

Low impact on simulation run time

Good resource utilization (low memory footprint, use of GPU,...)

Driving the acceptance of any in-situ approach



In-situ visualization through Damaris: a glimpse at the interface



<parameter name="NX" type="int" value="4"/>
<layout name="px" type="float" dimensions="NX"/>
<variable name="mesh_x" layout="px">
<!-- idem for PTY and PTZ, py and pz, mesh_y and mesh_z -->
<layout name="data_layout" type="double" dimensions="NX,NY,NZ"/>
<variable name="temperature" layout="data_layout" mesh="my_mesh" />
<mesh type="rectilinear" name="my_mesh" topology="3">
<mesh type="mesh_x" unit="cm" label="width" />
<coord name="mesh_y" unit="cm" label="width" />
<coord name="mesh_z" unit="cm" label="depth" />
</mesh>

- Visit provides the libsimV2 library for in-situ visualization
- **Damaris** connects the simulation thanks to its **XML** description
- Low impact on code
- Use of dedicated cores
- High adaptability thanks to plugins
- Non-impacting interactivity

```
(nría_
```

DC_write("mesh_x",mesh_x); DC_write("mesh_y",mesh_x); DC_write("mesh_z",mesh_x);

DC_write("temperature",temperature);

Damaris has a low impact on the code

	Vislt	Damaris
Curve.c	144 lines	6 lines
Mesh.c	167 lines	10 lines
Var.c	271 lines	12 lines
Life.c	305 lines	8 lines

Number of lines of code required to instrument sample simulations with Vislt and with Damaris

Nek5000	VTK : 600 lines of C	Damaris : 20 lines of
	and Fortran	Fortran, 60 of XML



Results with the Nek5000 simulation



The Nek5000 simulation

- CFD solver
- Based on spectral elements method
- Developed at ANL
- Written in Fortran 77 and MPI
- Scales over 250,000 cores

Data in Nek5000

- Fixed set of elements constituting an unstructured mesh
- Each element is a curvilinear mesh
- Damaris already knows how to handle curvilinear meshes and pass them to VisIt
- Tested on up to 384 cores with Damaris so far
- Traditional approach does not even scale to this number!



Damaris removes the variability inherent to in-situ visualization tasks

Duration of iterations under different pressures Time (sec) of time-partitioning in-situ visualization



Iteration number

Duration of iterations under different pressures of in-situ visualization through Damaris



Iteration number

nnia

Experiments done with the turbChannel test-case in Nek5000, on 48 cores (2 nodes) of Grid'5000's Reims cluster.





Demonstration Cool images coming





Conclusion



Conclusion

The Damaris approach

- Dedicated cores
- Shared memory
- Highly adaptable system thanks to plugins and XML
- Connection to VisIt

Past results

- Fully hides the I/O jitter and I/O-related costs
- 15x sustained write throughput (compared to collective I/O)
- Almost perfect application scalability
- Execution time divided by 3.5 compared to collective I/O

Recent work and results

- Efficient coupling of simulation and analysis tools
- Perfectly hides the run-time impact of in-situ visualization
- Minimal code instrumentation
- High adaptability

Innía

8th workshop of the JLPC November 19th, 2012



Thank you!

I/O and in-situ visualization: recent results with the Damaris approach

Joint work involving Matthieu Dorier, Gabriel Antoniu, Dave Semeraro, Roberto Sisneros, Tom Peterka

Matthieu Dorier matthieu.dorier@irisa.fr KerData Team Inria Rennes, IRISA ENS Cachan

